

CRITICAL BEHAVIOR FOR THE MODEL
OF RANDOM SPATIAL PERMUTATIONS

by
John Kerl

A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of the
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
For the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In the Graduate College
THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

2010

THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
GRADUATE COLLEGE

As members of the Dissertation Committee, we certify that we have read the dissertation prepared by John Kerl entitled
Critical behavior for the model of random spatial permutations
and recommend that it be accepted as fulfilling the dissertation requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

THOMAS KENNEDY DATE: MARCH 22, 2010

RABINDRA BHATTACHARYA DATE: MARCH 22, 2010

KEVIN LIN DATE: MARCH 22, 2010

DANIEL UELTSCHI DATE: MARCH 22, 2010

FINAL APPROVAL AND ACCEPTANCE OF THIS DISSERTATION IS CONTINGENT UPON THE CANDIDATE'S SUBMISSION OF THE FINAL COPIES OF THE DISSERTATION TO THE GRADUATE COLLEGE.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ THIS DISSERTATION PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND RECOMMEND THAT IT BE ACCEPTED AS FULFILLING THE DISSERTATION REQUIREMENT.

THOMAS KENNEDY DATE: MARCH 22, 2010

STATEMENT BY AUTHOR

THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF REQUIREMENTS FOR AN ADVANCED DEGREE AT THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA AND IS DEPOSITED IN THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO BORROWERS UNDER RULES OF THE LIBRARY.

BRIEF QUOTATIONS FROM THIS DISSERTATION ARE ALLOWABLE WITHOUT SPECIAL PERMISSION, PROVIDED THAT ACCURATE ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SOURCE IS MADE. REQUESTS FOR PERMISSION FOR EXTENDED QUOTATION FROM OR REPRODUCTION OF THIS MANUSCRIPT IN WHOLE OR IN PART MAY BE GRANTED BY THE HEAD OF THE MAJOR DEPARTMENT OR THE DEAN OF THE GRADUATE COLLEGE WHEN IN HIS OR HER JUDGMENT THE PROPOSED USE OF THE MATERIAL IS IN THE INTERESTS OF SCHOLARSHIP. IN ALL OTHER INSTANCES, HOWEVER, PERMISSION MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE AUTHOR.

SIGNED: _____ JOHN KERL _____

DEDICATION

For Steph, who insisted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, thanks go to co-advisors Daniel Ueltschi and Tom Kennedy. Much of what I have learned in this project has been learned through them; their patience has been endless and their knowledge has been irreplaceable.

My work in spring 2008 and spring 2009 was supported by research assistantships through National Science Foundation grant DMS-0601075. Summer 2009, fall 2009, and spring 2010 research assistantships were funded by the University of Arizona Department of Mathematics NSF VIGRE (Vertical InteGration in Research and Education) grant.

The July 2008 Summer School on Current Topics in Mathematical Physics at the Erwin Schrödinger Institute in Vienna, organized by Christian Hainzl and Robert Seiringer, was my first mathematical physics conference. There, I made several valuable contacts; I also benefited from extended collaboration time with Daniel Ueltschi. The March 2009 school on Entropy and the Quantum in Tucson, organized by Daniel Ueltschi and Bob Sims, allowed further networking opportunities. In particular, Volker Betz posed a question on upper and lower bounds for Brownian-bridge interactions, and gave unexpected advice on software architecture. Bob Sims' June 2009 workshop on Quantum Spin Systems and Applications in Quantum Computation in Tucson, at which I presented ongoing results of a side project in three-dimensional percolation, gave me valuable feedback on finite-size scaling which I was able to apply to my dissertation work. Daniel Gandolfo and Jean Ruiz, of the Université de Marseille and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, respectively, were kind enough to host me for three days in July 2009 before a conference in Berlin. There, Gandolfo and Ueltschi gave me useful advice on my writing. Ueltschi's challenging questions on autocorrelation led me to write appendix B. Gandolfo and I discussed computational methods including lookup-table methods for the true Bose-gas interactions and the inapplicability of fourth-order-cumulant methods to our problem. The July 2009 conference on Stochastic Processes and Their Applications in Berlin allowed me to present my work to a probabilist audience. The February 2010 workshop of the Center for Simulational Physics at the University of Georgia provided invaluable contacts for me, including key ideas for presentation graphics due to Joan Adler of Technion, and a likely solution to the band-update problem due to Friederike Schmid of the University of Mainz.

Two reviewers for the Journal of Statistical Physics provided expert reassurance regarding the correctness of the methodology presented in an abbreviated version of this dissertation, while also helping to clarify the exposition. My committee members — Tom, Daniel, Kevin, and Rabi — likewise read this dissertation carefully and offered thoughtful suggestions for improvement.

Small-scale simulational processing for this project was done on the 8-processor

chivo cluster at the University of Arizona Department of Mathematics. Large-scale processing was done on the massively parallel ICE cluster at the University of Arizona High Performance Computing center.

The University of Arizona Department of Mathematics has been a supportive, stimulating environment in which I have been fortunate to spend the last five years. After graduation I will miss this department; I will take its best practices with me.

Most importantly, I thank my wife, Sarah, for her patience and support. She and I have labored side by side as she progresses through a doctoral program in anthropology. Now, it's her turn to write a dissertation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	11
LIST OF TABLES	13
ABSTRACT	14
CHAPTER 1. SCIENTIFIC CONTEXT	15
1.1. Theory	15
1.2. Experiments	15
1.3. Critical temperature	16
1.4. Context of dissertation	18
1.5. Literature review	19
1.6. Originality of dissertation	20
CHAPTER 2. THE MODEL OF RANDOM SPATIAL PERMUTATIONS	21
2.1. The probability model	21
2.2. Model variants by choice of cycle weights	24
2.3. Qualitative characterization of long cycles	25
2.4. Known results	26
2.5. Conjectures	28
CHAPTER 3. RANDOM VARIABLES	30
3.1. Differences and distances on the torus	30
3.2. Cycle lengths and correlation length ξ	32
3.3. Mean and maximum jump length	34
3.4. Fraction of sites in infinite cycles f_I	34
3.5. Macroscopic-cycle quotient f_{\max}/f_I	37
3.6. Winding numbers, f_S , and f_W	37
3.7. Order parameters: quantifying long cycles	38
CHAPTER 4. MARKOV CHAIN MONTE CARLO METHODS	40
4.1. Markov chains and Markov matrices	41
4.2. Invariant and limiting distributions	43
4.3. Sample means and their variances	47
4.4. Simple example: 1D Ising	47
4.5. Recipe for MCMC algorithms	48

TABLE OF CONTENTS—*Continued*

CHAPTER 5. THE SWAP-ONLY AND SWAP-AND-REVERSE ALGORITHMS . . .	50
5.1. The swap-only algorithm	50
5.2. Explicit construction of the Markov matrices	51
5.3. Correctness of the swap-only algorithm	53
5.4. Winding numbers and the swap-and-reverse algorithm	58
CHAPTER 6. BAND UPDATES	61
6.1. The algorithm	61
6.2. Acceptance rate	61
6.3. Band updates with compensation	63
CHAPTER 7. THE WORM ALGORITHM	64
7.1. The idea of the worm algorithm	64
7.2. Extended random-cycle model	66
7.3. Proof of marginality	66
7.4. The worm algorithm	67
7.5. Fibration of \mathcal{S}_{N+1} over \mathcal{S}_N	68
7.6. Explicit construction of the Markov matrix	73
7.7. Correctness	75
7.8. Stopping time and modification ideas	78
CHAPTER 8. ΔH COMPUTATIONS	80
8.1. Swap and worm with no interactions	80
8.2. Swap and worm with two-cycle interactions	80
8.3. Swap and worm with r_ℓ interactions	82
8.4. Swap with V interactions	83
8.5. Worm with V interactions	84
CHAPTER 9. ALGORITHMS FOR SINGLE MCMC RUNS	86
9.1. Data structures	86
9.2. Overview	88
9.3. Initialization	89
9.4. Metropolis sweeps	89
9.5. Metropolis steps	90
9.6. Thermalization detection	94
9.7. Computation of random variables	96
9.8. Computation of system energy	97
9.9. Computation of $r_\ell(\pi)$	97
9.10. Computation of cycle lengths and correlation length	98
9.11. Computation of mean and maximum jump length	98

TABLE OF CONTENTS—*Continued*

9.12. Computation of f_I	99
9.13. Computation of ℓ_{\max} , f_{\max} , and macroscopic-cycle quotient	100
9.14. Computation of winding numbers, f_S , and f_W	101
9.15. Computation of integrated autocorrelation times	101
9.16. Consistency checks	102
9.17. Saved realizations	105
9.18. MCMC output	105
9.19. Pseudorandom numbers	110
9.20. Tools	110
9.21. Performance	112
CHAPTER 10. BATCHING OF MCMC RUNS	114
10.1. Collecting data over multiple parameter values	114
10.2. Extracting data over multiple parameter values	115
10.3. Parallel processing	116
CHAPTER 11. RESULTS	117
11.1. Finite-size scaling methodology	117
11.2. Derivation of finite-size scaling	118
11.3. Determination of L -dependent critical exponents	119
11.4. Extrapolation of critical exponents for the infinite-volume limit	121
11.5. Determination of critical temperature	121
11.6. Verification of finite-size-scaling hypothesis	121
11.7. Determination of the shift in critical temperature	123
11.8. Constancy of the macroscopic-cycle quotient	123
11.9. Conclusions	127
CHAPTER 12. FUTURE WORK	128
APPENDIX A. BOSE-GAS DERIVATION OF RANDOM PERMUTATIONS	130
APPENDIX B. ERROR BARS, AUTOCORRELATION, AND BATCHED MEANS	133
B.1. Problem statement	133
B.2. Autocovariance and autocorrelation	135
B.3. The IID uniform process	136
B.4. The correlated-uniform Markov process	136
B.5. Statistics of the correlated-uniform Markov process	139
B.6. The variance of the sample mean	140
B.7. Estimates of autocorrelation	142
B.8. Integrated autocorrelation time	145

TABLE OF CONTENTS—*Continued*

B.9. Estimation of the integrated autocorrelation time	146
B.10. Estimation of the variance of the sample mean	148
B.11. Integrated and exponential autocorrelation times	150
B.12. Batched means	151
B.13. Variance and covariance of batches	153
B.14. Variance of the batched mean	155
B.15. Conclusions on error bars, autocorrelation, and batched means	156
INDEX	158
REFERENCES	163

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1.1.	Critical manifold in (ρ, β, a) for small a	16
FIGURE 2.1.	A spatial permutation on $N = 26$ points.	22
FIGURE 2.2.	Model variants by choice of point positions and cycle weights.	24
FIGURE 2.3.	Some typical permutations for varying T	25
FIGURE 2.4.	Order parameter $f_{\max} = \mathbb{E}\ell_{\max}/N$	27
FIGURE 3.1.	A configuration of \mathbf{X} and π with $N = 8$	32
FIGURE 3.2.	Expectation of $f_{1,k}$ as a function of k/N	36
FIGURE 3.3.	Behavior of order parameters as functions of L and T	39
FIGURE 5.1.	Metropolis moves for the swap-only algorithm.	50
FIGURE 5.2.	Sequence of local swaps resulting in a non-local swap.	54
FIGURE 5.3.	Swaps merge disjoint cycles and split single cycles.	57
FIGURE 5.4.	Illustration of winding-number conservation.	59
FIGURE 5.5.	Conservation of winding number in the SO algorithm.	60
FIGURE 6.1.	Band update as composition with an L -cycle.	62
FIGURE 6.2.	Change in jump lengths on a band update.	63
FIGURE 7.1.	Open cycles as permutations on $N + 1$ points.	65
FIGURE 7.2.	Metropolis moves for the worm algorithm.	68
FIGURE 7.3.	Fibration of \mathcal{S}_4 over \mathcal{S}_3	70
FIGURE 7.4.	Scalability of SAR and worm algorithms.	79
FIGURE 8.1.	A swap.	81
FIGURE 8.2.	Cases for Δr_2	81
FIGURE 8.3.	Cases for Δr_ℓ	83
FIGURE 8.4.	Cases for worm ΔV	85
FIGURE 9.1.	Lattice sites and cycle-information structure.	87
FIGURE 9.2.	Update of permutation and cycle information on a cycle split.	93
FIGURE 9.3.	Update of permutation and cycle information on a cycle merge.	93
FIGURE 9.4.	Thermalization detection.	95
FIGURE 9.5.	Thermalization time as a function of L and T	97
FIGURE 9.6.	Behavior of H as function of L and T	98
FIGURE 9.7.	Behavior of r_2 as function of L and T	98
FIGURE 9.8.	Mean and maximum jump lengths.	99
FIGURE 9.9.	Estimation of f_I	100
FIGURE 9.10.	Per-realization values of r_ℓ and ℓ_{\max} with $L = 20$, $T = 6.0, 7.0$	101
FIGURE 9.11.	Histograms of W_x for $\alpha = 0$ and $T = 6.70, 6.80, 6.85$	102
FIGURE 9.12.	Estimated integrated autocorrelation times of H and ℓ_{\max}	102

LIST OF FIGURES—*Continued*

FIGURE 9.13. Estimated autocorrelation factor of H and ℓ_{\max}	103
FIGURE 9.14. CPU time as function of L , T , and α	112
FIGURE 9.15. Scalability of the SAR algorithm.	113
FIGURE 11.1. Order parameters f_{\max} and $1/\xi$	119
FIGURE 11.2. Determination of critical exponents.	120
FIGURE 11.3. Power-law fit vs. raw simulational order-parameter data.	120
FIGURE 11.4. Estimation of $T_c(\alpha)$ for order parameter f_I	122
FIGURE 11.5. Collapse plot for order parameter $1/\xi$	122
FIGURE 11.6. Critical temperature as function of α	123
FIGURE 11.7. Shift in critical temperature as function of α	124
FIGURE 11.8. Macroscopic-cycle quotient f_{\max}/f_I for $\alpha = 0, 0.002$	126
FIGURE 11.9. f_{\max}/f_I as a function of α	126
FIGURE A.1. Pair potential between helium atoms (Ceperley, 1995).	130
FIGURE A.2. Feynman-Kac representation of a gas of 5 bosons.	131
FIGURE B.1. Five realizations each of the correlated-uniform Markov process.	134
FIGURE B.2. Realizations of Y_t with $\eta = 0.0, 0.5, 0.9$	138
FIGURE B.3. Histograms of the correlated-uniform Markov process	140
FIGURE B.4. Autocorrelation and estimators thereof for Y_t with $\eta = 0.9$	144
FIGURE B.5. Estimated and exact integrated autocorrelation times for Y_t	147
FIGURE B.6. Estimated integrated autocorrelation times for Y_t with varying η	148
FIGURE B.7. \bar{Y}_N over $M = 100$ experiments.	150
FIGURE B.8. $\hat{\tau}_{\text{int}}(Y_t)$ over $M = 100$ experiments, along with true values.	150
FIGURE B.9. $u_N(Y_t)$ over $M = 100$ experiments, along with true values.	151
FIGURE B.10. \bar{Y}_N with single-sigma error bars.	152
FIGURE B.11. Integrated and exponential autocorrelation times.	154

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 6.1.	Empirical jump-length distribution for $T = 6, 7$	62
TABLE 9.1.	Memory requirements.	112
TABLE 11.1.	f_{\max}/f_I as a function of α . A slight upward trend is visible. . . .	120
TABLE 11.2.	Extrapolated estimates of the infinite-volume critical exponents.	121
TABLE 11.3.	Critical temperature as a function of α	125
TABLE B.1.	η vs. $(1 + \eta)/(1 - \eta)$	146
TABLE B.2.	Statistics for 3 trials of 100 experiments on 10000 samples of Y_t .	153
TABLE B.3.	Statistics for 100 batched experiments on 65536 samples of Y_t . . .	156

ABSTRACT

We examine a phase transition in a model of random spatial permutations which originates in a study of the interacting Bose gas. Permutations are weighted according to point positions; the low-temperature onset of the appearance of arbitrarily long cycles is connected to the phase transition of Bose-Einstein condensates. In our simplified model, point positions are held fixed on the fully occupied cubic lattice and interactions are expressed as Ewens-type weights on cycle lengths of permutations. The critical temperature of the transition to long cycles depends on an interaction-strength parameter α . For weak interactions, the shift in critical temperature is expected to be linear in α with constant of linearity c . Using Markov chain Monte Carlo methods and finite-size scaling, we find $c = 0.618 \pm 0.086$. This finding matches a similar analytical result of Ueltschi and Betz. We also examine the mean longest cycle length as a fraction of the number of sites in long cycles, recovering an earlier result of Shepp and Lloyd for non-spatial permutations. The plan of this paper is as follows. We begin with a non-technical discussion of the historical context of the project, along with a mention of alternative approaches. Relevant previous works are cited, thus annotating the bibliography. The random-cycle approach to the BEC problem requires a model of spatial permutations. This model it is of its own probabilistic interest; it is developed mathematically, without reference to the Bose gas. Our Markov-chain Monte Carlo algorithms for sampling from the random-cycle distribution — the swap-only, swap-and-reverse, band-update, and worm algorithms — are presented, compared, and contrasted. Finite-size scaling techniques are used to obtain information about infinite-volume quantities from finite-volume computational data.