# MCMC methods for random spatial permutations

Frontiers in Nonlinear Waves

#### John Kerl

Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona

March 27, 2010

### The probability model

State space:  $\Omega_{\Lambda,N} = \Lambda^N \times S_N$ , where  $\Lambda = [0, L]^3$  with periodic boundary conditions. Point positions:  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$  for  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N \in \Lambda$ .



Hamiltonian, where  $T = 1/\beta$  and  $r_{\ell}(\pi)$  is the number of  $\ell$ -cycles in  $\pi$ :

$$H(\mathbf{X},\pi) = \frac{T}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{\pi(i)}\|^{2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \alpha_{\ell} r_{\ell}(\pi).$$

- The first term discourages long permutation jumps, moreso for higher T.
- The temperature scale factor T/4, not  $\beta/4$ , is surprising but correct for the Bose-gas derivation of the Hamiltonian.
- The second term discourages cycles of length *l*, moreso for higher α<sub>l</sub>. These interactions are not between points, but rather between permutation jumps.

J. Kerl (Arizona)

## The probability model

Fixed point positions (quenched model — includes all simulations done up to the present on the cubic unit lattice with  $N = L^3$ ):

$$P_{\mathbf{X}}(\pi) = \frac{1}{Y(\Lambda, \mathbf{X})} e^{-H(\mathbf{X}, \pi)}, \quad Y(\Lambda, \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_N} e^{-H(\mathbf{X}, \sigma)}$$

Varying positions (annealed model — many theoretical results are available):

$$P(\pi) = \frac{1}{Z(\Lambda, N)} e^{-H(\mathbf{X}, \pi)}, \quad Z(\Lambda, N) = \frac{1}{N!} \int_{\Lambda^N} Y(\Lambda, \mathbf{X}) \, d\mathbf{X}.$$

In either case, we write the expectation of an RV  $S(\pi)$  as  $\mathbb{E}[S] = \sum_{\pi \in S_N} P(\pi)S(\pi)$ .



Feynman (1953) studied long cycles in the context of Bose-Einstein condensation for interacting systems. See also Sütő (1993, 2002), and papers of Betz and Ueltschi.

J. Kerl (Arizona)

# The probability model: intuition

What does a typical random spatial permutation actually look like? (Recall  $H(\mathbf{X}, \pi) = \frac{T}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{\pi(i)}\|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \alpha_\ell r_\ell(\pi).$ )

- As T→∞, the probability measure becomes supported only on the identity permutation. Large but finite T: there are tiny islands of 2-cycles, 3-cycles, etc.
- As  $T \to 0$ , length-dependent terms go to zero. The probability measure approaches the uniform distribution on  $S_N$ : all  $\pi$ 's are equally likely.

For intermediate T, things get more interesting:

- The length of each permutation jump,  $\|\pi(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{x}\|$  , remains small.
- Above a critical temperature  $T_c$ , all cycles are short: 2-cycles, 3-cycles, etc.  $T_c \approx 6.86$ , and positive  $\alpha$  terms increase  $T_c$ .
- Phase transition at  $T_c$ : below  $T_c$ , jump lengths remain short but *long cycles form*. Order-parameter RVs  $f_I$ ,  $f_M$ ,  $f_W$ ,  $f_S$  quantify this;  $\xi$  is correlation length.
- Figures: high T, medium but subcritical T, and low T.





MCMC methods for random spatial permutations

### Behavior of order parameters as functions of L, T, and $\alpha$ .

 $f_M = \mathbb{E}[\ell_{\max}]/N$  is left-sided;  $1/\xi$  is right-sided. All order-parameter plots tend to the right as  $\alpha$  increases, i.e.  $\Delta T_c(\alpha) = \frac{T_c(\alpha) - T_c(0)}{T_c(0)}$  is positive for small positive  $\alpha$ . Goal: quantify  $\Delta T_c(\alpha)$ 's first-order dependence on  $\alpha$ .



### Known results and conjectures

Recall  $H(\mathbf{X}, \pi) = \frac{T}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{\pi(i)}\|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \alpha_\ell r_\ell(\pi)$ . We have the following models:

- Non-interacting model:  $\alpha_{\ell} \equiv 0$ .
- Two-cycle model:  $\alpha_2 = \alpha$  and other cycle weights are zero.
- Ewens model:  $\alpha_{\ell}$  is constant in  $\ell$ .
- General-cycle model: No restrictions on  $\alpha_{\ell}$ .

Known results for the continuum (obtained largely using Fourier methods):

•  $\Delta T_c(\alpha)$  is known (to first order in  $\alpha$ ) for two-cycle interactions (Betz and Ueltschi, CMP 2008) and small cycle weights (Betz and Ueltschi 2008). (This taps into a long and controversial history in the physics literature: see Baym et al., EJP B 2001, or Seiringer and Ueltschi, PRB 2009, for surveys.) The critical ( $\rho, T, \alpha$ ) manifold relates  $\rho_c$  to  $T_c$ .

$$\rho_{c}(\alpha) \approx \sum_{\ell \ge 1} e^{-\alpha_{\ell}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{-\ell 4\pi^{2}\beta \|\mathbf{k}\|^{2}} d\mathbf{k} = \frac{1}{(4\pi\beta)^{3/2}} \sum_{\ell \ge 1} e^{-\alpha_{\ell}} \ell^{-3/2}$$
$$\Delta T_{c}(\alpha) \approx c\rho^{1/3}\alpha, \quad \text{for } \alpha \approx 0, \text{ with } c = 4\pi\zeta(3/2)^{-2/3} e^{2\alpha/3} \approx 0.66 \text{ when } \rho = 1.$$

# Metropolis sampling

The expectation of a random variable S (e.g.  $f_W$ ,  $f_M$ ,  $f_I$ ,  $f_S$ ,  $\xi$ ) is

$$\mathbb{E}[S] = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_N} P(\pi) S(\pi).$$

The number of permutations, N!, grows intractably in N. The expectation is instead estimated by summing over some number M ( $10^4$  to  $10^6$ ) typical permutations. The sample mean is now a random variable with its own variance.

The usual technical issues of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods are known and handled in my simulations and dissertation: thermalization time, proofs of detailed balance, autocorrelation, batched means, and quantification of variance of sample means.

Metropolis step (analogue of single spin-flips for the Ising model): swap permutation arrows which end at nearest-neighbor lattice sites. This either splits a common cycle, or merges disjoint cycles:



As usual, the proposed change is accepted with probability  $\min\{1, e^{-\Delta H}\}$ .

J. Kerl (Arizona)

# Computational results: $\Delta T_c$

Raw MCMC data yield  $S(L,T,\alpha)$  plots as above, for each order parameter S. Finite-size scaling (see Caracciolo et al., arXiv:cond-mat/0312175 for a survey) determines the critical temperature  $T_c(\alpha)$ .

Define reduced temperature  $t = \frac{T - T_c(\alpha)}{T_c(\alpha)}$ , and correlation length  $\xi$  as above.

Hypotheses: (1) At infinite volume,  $S \sim |-t|^{\rho}$  and  $\xi \sim |t|^{-\nu}$  (power-law behavior). (2) Finite-volume corrections enter only through a universal function  $Q_S$  of the ratio  $L/\xi$ :

$$S(L,T,\alpha) = L^{-\rho/\nu} Q_S((L/\xi)^{1/\nu}) = L^{-\rho/\nu} Q_S(L^{1/\nu}t)$$

Method:

- Estimate critical exponents  $\rho, \nu$  via power-law regression on MCMC data plots.
- Plot  $L^{\hat{\rho}/\hat{\nu}}S(L,T,\alpha)$  as function of T. Since t = 0 at  $T_c(\alpha)$ , these plots for different L cross at  $T_c(\alpha)$ .
- Having estimated  $\hat{\rho}$ ,  $\hat{\nu}$ , and  $\hat{T}_c(\alpha)$ , plot  $L^{\hat{\rho}/\hat{\nu}}S(L,T,\alpha)$  as function of  $L^{1/\hat{\nu}}\hat{t}$ . This causes all curves to collapse, confirming the FSS hypothesis.
- Regress  $\Delta \hat{T}_c(\alpha)$  on  $\alpha$  to estimate the constant c.

# Computational results: $\Delta T_c$

Raw data vs. power-law fit for  $1/\xi$  with  $\alpha = 0$ , followed by crossing plot:



Collapse plot for  $1/\xi$  with  $\alpha = 0$ , followed by  $\Delta T_c(\alpha)$  vs.  $\alpha$ :



We find  $T_c(0) \approx 6.683 \pm 0.003$  and  $c \approx 0.665 \pm 0.067$  for Ewens weights on the lattice. For small cycle weights on the continuum, Betz and Ueltschi have  $T_c(0) \approx 6.625$  and  $c \approx 0.667$ . Conclusions: (1) Lattice structure modifies the critical temperature; (2) the  $\alpha$ -dependent shift in critical temperature is unaffected.

J. Kerl (Arizona)

MCMC methods for random spatial permutations

# Other work

Dissertation items not presented today:

- Precise exposition of the theory of autocorrelation estimators for exponentially correlated Markov processes. Precise quantification of the advantages and non-advantages of batched means.
- A worm algorithm permits odd winding numbers and has an elegant theory. However, it has a stopping-time problem.
- Finite-size scaling details.
- Mean length of longest cycle as a fraction of the number of sites in long cycles recovers work of Shepp and Lloyd (1966) for non-spatial uniform permutations.

For the future (postdoctoral):

- Use varying (annealed) point positions on the continuum. This samples from the true point distribution.
- Replace cycle-weight interactions in the Hamiltonian with those derived from the true Bose-gas model. Analytical as well as simulational work is needed in order to make this computationally tractable.

For more information, please visit http://math.arizona.edu/~kerl.

Thank you for attending!