

CRITICAL BEHAVIOR FOR THE MODEL
OF RANDOM SPATIAL PERMUTATIONS

by
John Kerl

A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of the
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
For the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In the Graduate College
THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

March 25, 2010

Get the official approval page
from the Graduate College
before your final defense.

STATEMENT BY AUTHOR

This dissertation has been submitted in partial fulfillment of requirements for an advanced degree at The University of Arizona and is deposited in the University Library to be made available to borrowers under rules of the Library.

Brief quotations from this dissertation are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgment of source is made. Requests for permission for extended quotation from or reproduction of this manuscript in whole or in part may be granted by the head of the major department or the Dean of the Graduate College when in his or her judgment the proposed use of the material is in the interests of scholarship. In all other instances, however, permission must be obtained from the author.

SIGNED: _____

DEDICATION

For Steph, who insisted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, thanks go to co-advisors Daniel Ueltschi and Tom Kennedy. Much of what I have learned in this project has been learned through them; their patience has been endless and their knowledge has been irreplaceable.

My work in spring 2008 and spring 2009 was supported by research assistantships through National Science Foundation grant DMS-0601075. Summer and fall 2009 research assistantships were funded by the University of Arizona Department of Mathematics NSF VIGRE (Vertical InteGration in Research and Education) grant.

The July 2008 Summer School on Current Topics in Mathematical Physics at the Erwin Schrödinger Institute in Vienna, organized by Christian Hainzl and Robert Seiringer provided, in particular, extended collaboration time with Daniel Ueltschi. As well, this was my first mathematical physics conference, during which I made several valuable contacts. The March 2009 school on Entropy and the Quantum in Tucson, organized by Daniel Ueltschi and Bob Sims, allowed further networking opportunities. In particular, Volker Betz posed a question on upper and lower bounds for Brownian-bridge interactions, and gave unexpected advice on software architecture. Bob Sims' June 2009 workshop on Quantum Spin Systems and Applications in Quantum Computation in Tucson, at which I presented ongoing results of a side project in three-dimensional percolation, gave me valuable feedback on finite-size scaling which I was able to apply to my dissertation work as well. Daniel Gandolfo and Jean Ruiz, of the Université de Marseille and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, respectively, were kind enough to host me for three days in July 2009 before a conference in Berlin. There, Gandolfo and Ueltschi gave me useful advice on my writing. Ueltschi's challenging questions on autocorrelation led me to write appendix A. Last, Gandolfo and I discussed computational methods including lookup-table methods for the true Bose-gas interactions and the inapplicability of fourth-order-cumulant methods for our problem. The July 2009 conference on Stochastic Processes and Their Applications in Berlin allowed me to present my work to a probabilist audience. The February 2010 workshop of the Center for Simulational Physics at the University of Georgia provided invaluable contacts for me, including key ideas for presentation graphics due to Joan Adler of Technion, and a likely solution to the band-update problem due to Friederike Schmid of the University of Mainz.

Two reviewers for the Journal of Statistical Physics provided expert reassurance regarding the correctness of the methodology presented in an abbreviated version of this dissertation, while also helping to clarify the exposition.

Small-scale simulational processing for this project was done on the 8-processor chivo cluster at the University of Arizona Department of Mathematics. Large-scale processing was done on the massively parallel ICE cluster at the University of Arizona High Performance Computing center.

The University of Arizona Department of Mathematics has been a supportive, stimulating environment in which I have been fortunate to spend the last five years. After graduation I will miss this department; I will take its best practices with me.

Most importantly, I thank my wife, Sarah, for her patience and support. She and I have labored side by side as she progresses through a doctoral program in anthropology. Now, it's her turn to write a dissertation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	11
LIST OF TABLES	13
ABSTRACT	14
CHAPTER 1. HISTORICAL CONTEXT	15
1.1. Theory	15
1.2. Experiments	15
1.3. Critical temperature	16
1.4. Context of dissertation	18
1.5. Literature review	19
CHAPTER 2. THE MODEL OF RANDOM SPATIAL PERMUTATIONS	21
2.1. The probability model	21
2.2. Model variants by choice of cycle weights	24
2.3. Qualitative characterization of long cycles	24
2.4. Known results and conjectures	25
CHAPTER 3. RANDOM VARIABLES	29
3.1. Cycle lengths and correlation length ξ	30
3.2. Mean and maximum jump length	32
3.3. Fraction of sites in infinite cycles f_I	32
3.4. Macroscopic-cycle quotient f_M/f_I	35
3.5. Winding numbers, f_S , and f_W	35
3.6. Order parameters: quantifying long cycles	36
CHAPTER 4. MARKOV CHAIN MONTE CARLO METHODS	38
4.1. Invariant and limiting distributions	38
4.2. The sampling theorem	42
4.3. Sample means and their variances	42
4.4. Simple example: 1D Ising	43
4.5. Recipe for MCMC algorithms	44
CHAPTER 5. THE SWAP-ONLY AND SWAP-AND-REVERSE ALGORITHMS	46
5.1. The swap-only algorithm	46
5.2. Explicit construction of the Markov matrix	47
5.3. Correctness of the swap-only algorithm	49
5.4. Winding numbers and the swap-and-reverse algorithm	53

TABLE OF CONTENTS—*Continued*

CHAPTER 6. BAND UPDATES	57
6.1. The algorithm	57
6.2. Acceptance rate	57
CHAPTER 7. THE WORM ALGORITHM	60
7.1. The idea of the worm algorithm	60
7.2. Extended random-cycle model	62
7.3. Proof of marginality	62
7.4. The worm algorithm	63
7.5. Fibration of \mathcal{S}_{N+1} over \mathcal{S}_N	65
7.6. Explicit construction of the Markov matrix	69
7.7. Correctness	71
7.8. Stopping time and modification ideas	74
CHAPTER 8. ΔH COMPUTATIONS	76
8.1. Swap and worm with no interactions	76
8.2. Swap and worm with two-cycle interactions	76
8.3. Swap and worm with r_ℓ interactions	78
8.4. Swap with V interactions	79
8.5. Worm with V interactions	80
CHAPTER 9. ALGORITHMS FOR SINGLE MCMC RUNS	82
9.1. Data structures	82
9.2. Overview	84
9.3. Initialization	85
9.4. Metropolis sweeps	85
9.5. Metropolis steps	86
9.6. Thermalization detection	90
9.7. Computation of random variables	92
9.8. Computation of system energy	93
9.9. Computation of $r_\ell(\pi)$	93
9.10. Computation of cycle lengths and correlation length	93
9.11. Computation of mean and maximum jump length	94
9.12. Computation of f_I	94
9.13. Computation of ℓ_{\max} , f_M , and macroscopic-cycle quotient	95
9.14. Computation of winding numbers, f_S , and f_W	96
9.15. Computation of integrated autocorrelation times	96
9.16. Consistency checks	98
9.17. Saved realizations	101
9.18. MCMC output	101

TABLE OF CONTENTS—*Continued*

9.19. Pseudorandom numbers	105
9.20. Tools	105
9.21. Performance	107
CHAPTER 10. BATCHING OF MCMC RUNS	109
10.1. Collecting data over multiple parameter values	109
10.2. Extracting data over multiple parameter values	110
10.3. Parallel processing	111
CHAPTER 11. RESULTS	112
11.1. Finite-size scaling methodology	112
11.2. Determination of L -dependent critical exponents	113
11.3. Extrapolation of critical exponents for the infinite-volume limit	113
11.4. Determination of critical temperature	115
11.5. Verification of finite-size-scaling hypothesis	115
11.6. Determination of the shift in critical temperature	116
11.7. Constancy of the macroscopic-cycle quotient	116
11.8. Conclusions	119
CHAPTER 12. FUTURE WORK	120
APPENDIX A. ERROR BARS, AUTOCORRELATION, AND BATCHED MEANS	122
A.1. Problem statement	122
A.2. Autocovariance and autocorrelation	124
A.3. The IID uniform process	125
A.4. The correlated-uniform Markov process	125
A.5. Statistics of the correlated-uniform Markov process	128
A.6. The variance of the sample mean	128
A.7. Estimates of autocorrelation	130
A.8. Integrated autocorrelation time	134
A.9. Estimation of the integrated autocorrelation time	135
A.10. Estimation of the variance of the sample mean	137
A.11. Integrated and exponential autocorrelation times	140
A.12. Batched means	142
A.13. Variance and covariance of batches	143
A.14. Variance of the batched mean	143
A.15. Conclusions on error bars, autocorrelation, and batched means	145
REFERENCES	147
.	151

TABLE OF CONTENTS—*Continued*

INDEX **151**

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1.1.	Critical manifold in (ρ, β, a) for small a	16
FIGURE 2.1.	A spatial permutation on $N = 26$ points.	22
FIGURE 2.2.	Some typical permutations for varying high T	24
FIGURE 2.3.	Order parameter $f_M = \mathbb{E}\ell_{\max}/N$	26
FIGURE 3.1.	A configuration of \mathbf{X} and π with $N = 8$	30
FIGURE 3.2.	Expectation of $f_{1,k}$ as a function of k/N	34
FIGURE 3.3.	Behavior of order parameters as functions of L and T	37
FIGURE 5.1.	Metropolis moves for the swap-only algorithm.	46
FIGURE 5.2.	Sequence of local swaps resulting in a non-local swap.	50
FIGURE 5.3.	Swaps merge disjoint cycles and split single cycles.	52
FIGURE 5.4.	Illustration of winding-number conservation.	54
FIGURE 5.5.	Conservation of winding number in the SO algorithm.	55
FIGURE 6.1.	Band update as composition with an L -cycle.	58
FIGURE 6.2.	Change in jump lengths on a band update.	59
FIGURE 7.1.	Open cycles as permutations on $N + 1$ points.	61
FIGURE 7.2.	Metropolis moves for the worm algorithm.	64
FIGURE 7.3.	Fibration of \mathcal{S}_4 over \mathcal{S}_3	66
FIGURE 7.4.	Scalability of SAR and worm algorithms.	75
FIGURE 8.1.	A swap.	77
FIGURE 8.2.	Cases for Δr_2	77
FIGURE 8.3.	Cases for Δr_ℓ	79
FIGURE 8.4.	Cases for worm Δ_V	81
FIGURE 9.1.	Lattice sites and cycle-information structure.	83
FIGURE 9.2.	Update of permutation and cycle information on a cycle split.	89
FIGURE 9.3.	Update of permutation and cycle information on a cycle merge.	89
FIGURE 9.4.	Thermalization detection.	91
FIGURE 9.5.	Behavior of H as function of L and T	93
FIGURE 9.6.	Behavior of r_2 as function of L and T	94
FIGURE 9.7.	Mean and maximum jump lengths.	95
FIGURE 9.8.	Estimation of f_I	96
FIGURE 9.9.	Per-realization values of r_ℓ and ℓ_{\max} , $L = 20$, $T = 6.0, 7.0$	97
FIGURE 9.10.	Histograms of W_x for $\alpha = 0$ and $T = 6.70, 6.80, 6.85$	97
FIGURE 9.11.	Estimated integrated autocorrelation times of H and ℓ_{\max}	98
FIGURE 9.12.	Estimated autocorrelation factor of H and ℓ_{\max}	98
FIGURE 9.13.	CPU time as function of L , T , and α	107

LIST OF FIGURES—*Continued*

FIGURE 9.14. Scalability of the SAR algorithm.	108
FIGURE 11.1. Order parameters f_M and $1/\xi$	113
FIGURE 11.2. Determination of critical exponent.	114
FIGURE 11.3. Power-law fit vs. simulational order-parameter data.	114
FIGURE 11.4. Estimation of $T_c(\alpha)$ for order parameter f_I	115
FIGURE 11.5. Collapse plot for order parameter f_S	116
FIGURE 11.6. Critical temperature as function of α	117
FIGURE 11.7. Shift in critical temperature as function of α	117
FIGURE 11.8. Macroscopic-cycle quotient f_M/f_I for $\alpha = 0.000, 0.002$	118
FIGURE 11.9. f_M/f_I as a function of α	119
FIGURE A.1. Five realizations each of the correlated-uniform Markov process.	123
FIGURE A.2. Realizations of Y_t with $\eta = 0.0, 0.5, 0.9$	127
FIGURE A.3. Histograms of the correlated-uniform Markov process	129
FIGURE A.4. Autocorrelation and estimators thereof for Y_t with $\eta = 0.9$	133
FIGURE A.5. Estimated and exact integrated autocorrelation times for Y_t	136
FIGURE A.6. Estimated integrated autocorrelation times for Y_t with varying η	137
FIGURE A.7. \bar{Y}_N over $M = 100$ experiments.	139
FIGURE A.8. $\hat{\tau}_{\text{int}}(Y_t)$ over $M = 100$ experiments, along with true values.	139
FIGURE A.9. $u_N(Y_t)$ over $M = 100$ experiments, along with true values.	139
FIGURE A.10. \bar{Y}_N with single-sigma error bars.	140
FIGURE A.11. Integrated and exponential autocorrelation times.	142

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 9.1.	Memory requirements.	107
TABLE 11.1.	f_M/f_I as a function of α . A slight upward trend is visible.	114
TABLE 11.2.	Extrapolated estimates of the infinite-volume critical exponents.	115
TABLE A.1.	η vs. $(1 + \eta)/(1 - \eta)$	135
TABLE A.2.	Statistics for 3 trials of 100 experiments on 10000 samples of Y_t	141
TABLE A.3.	Statistics for 100 batched experiments on 65536 samples of Y_t	145

ABSTRACT

CRITICAL BEHAVIOR FOR THE MODEL OF RANDOM SPATIAL PERMUTATIONS

John Kerl, Ph.D.

The University of Arizona, March 25, 2010

Director: Tom Kennedy

We elaborate on a model of random spatial permutations, wherein permutations are weighted according to point positions. This model originates in a study of the interacting Bose gas; the low-temperature-dependent onset of the appearance of arbitrarily long cycles is connected to the phase transition of Bose-Einstein condensates. For our work, we consider a simplified model with point positions held fixed on the cubic lattice, with interactions expressed as Ewens-type weights on cycle lengths of permutations. The critical temperature of the transition to long cycles depends on an interaction-strength parameter α . For weak interactions, the shift in critical temperature is expected to be linear in α with constant of linearity c . Using Markov chain Monte Carlo methods, we find $c = 0.618 \pm 0.086$. This finding matches a similar analytical result of Ueltschi and Betz. We also examine the mean longest cycle length as a fraction of the number of sites in long cycles, recovering an earlier result of Shepp and Lloyd for non-spatial permutations. The plan of this paper is as follows. We begin with a non-technical discussion of the historical context of the project, along with a mention of alternative approaches. Relevant previous works are cited, thus annotating the bibliography. The random-cycle approach to the BEC problem requires a model of spatial permutations. This model it is of its own probabilistic interest; it is developed mathematically, without reference to the Bose gas. Markov-chain Monte Carlo algorithms for sampling from the random-cycle distribution — the swap-only, swap-and-reverse, band-update, and worm algorithms — are presented, compared, and contrasted. Finite-size scaling techniques are used to obtain information about infinite-volume quantities from finite-volume computational data.