

August 2003
Algebra Qualifying Exam
Solutions

1A) Let V be a vector space, $W \subset V$ a subspace. Prove or give a counterexample: if $T : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation such that

- $T(w) = w$ for all $w \in W$ and
- $T(v + W) = v + W$ for all $v \in V$,

then T is the identity map.

Answer: Counter example. Consider the map with standard basis that maps $(0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ and $(1, 0) \rightarrow (1, 1)$. Take $w = \text{Span}\{(0, 1)\}$. Then $(a, b) \rightarrow (a, a + b) = a(1, 1) + b(0, 1)$.

1B) If $A = \begin{pmatrix} -8 & 18 \\ -6 & 13 \end{pmatrix}$ find a square root for A , i.e. find a matrix B such that $B^2 = A$.

Answer: We will attempt to diagonalize the matrix, $D = P^{-1}AP$, then find B such that $B^2 = D$ and then PBP^{-1} will be a root for A . First we compute the characteristic polynomial of A . $\det(A - xI) = x^2 - 5x + 4 = (x - 1)(x - 4)$ and thus we have eigenvalues 1, 4. The corresponding eigenvectors are solutions of

$$\begin{bmatrix} -9 & 18 \\ -6 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -12 & 18 \\ -6 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We get $t(2, 1)^T$ and $s(3, 2)^T$. Thus $P = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Just to check (as any diagonalizable matrix is diagonal with its eigenvalues as the entries on the diagonal) we compute $P^{-1}AP = D$:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -8 & 18 \\ -6 & 13 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = D \end{aligned}$$

For a square root of D we can take $B = \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 2 \end{bmatrix}$. As problem asks for one, we take just the positive ones. Thus PBP^{-1} is a root of A as $(PBP^{-1})^2 = PBP^{-1}PBP^{-1} = PB^2P^{-1} = PDP^{-1} = A$. Thus take

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 6 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Check: $\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 6 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 6 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 18 \\ -6 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$

2A) A group G is called a CA-group if the centralizer $C_G(x)$ is abelian if $1 \neq x \in G$. Write G^* for $G \setminus \{1\}$. Suppose that G is a CA-group.

(a) Show that the relation \sim defined by $x \sim y$ if and only if $xy = yx$ is an equivalence relation on G^* .

(b) If K is an equivalence class in G^* show that $K \cup \{1\}$ is a subgroup of G .

Answer: (a) $xx = xx$ so $x \sim x$. Assume $x \sim y$ then $xy = yx = xy$ and so $y \sim x$. Now assume that $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$. Then if $xy = yx$ and $yz = zy$ then y is in the centralizer of x , z is in the centralizer of y and vice versa. Thus it is immediate that $xz = zx$ and thus $x \sim z$.

(b) To show that $K \cup \{1\}$ is a subgroup we must show that for $x, y \in K \cup \{1\}$ we have that $xy^{-1} \in K \cup \{1\}$. Assume that $xy \in K \cup \{1\}$. We show that $xyz = zxy$ will give us that $zxy^{-1} = xy^{-1}z$.

$$\begin{aligned} zxy^{-1} &= zxyy^{-1}y^{-1} \\ &= xyzzy^{-1}y^{-1} \\ &= xyy^{-1}y^{-1}z \\ &= xy^{-1}z \end{aligned}$$

2B) An inner automorphism of a group G is an automorphism of the form $x \rightarrow gxg^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. Show that every automorphism of S_3 (the symmetric group on 3 letters) is an inner automorphism.

Answer: $x \rightarrow gxg^{-1}$ is clearly an automorphism and thus $G/Z(G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of S_3 . As $Z(S_3) = 1$, we know that S_3 is isomorphic to a subgroup of S_3 . Thus it has size at least 6. To be an automorphism we must send two cycles to two cycles. S_3 is generated by the two cycles (12), (13), and (2,3). Thus the only choice we have to send (12) is one of three places, then (13) to two of the remaining and thus the image of (2,3) is determined. Thus $|Aut(S_3)| \leq 6$ and thus $Aut(S_3) \cong S_3$ and the only isomorphisms were given as inner automorphisms.

3A) Let R be a commutative ring. We say R is noetherian if every ideal in R is finitely generated.

(a) Show that R is noetherian if and only if the ideals in R satisfy the ascending chain condition.

(b) Do the ideals in a noetherian ring satisfy the descending chain condition? Prove or give a counter example.

Answer: (a) Assume that R has every ideal is finitely generated. Consider the ascending chain of ideals

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$$

Let

$$J = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$$

Note first that J is an ideal as it is an ascending chain of ideals. J is finitely generated by say x_1, \dots, x_n by assumption. As $x_i \in J$ for all i , each i lies in one of the ideals in the chain, say I_{j_i} . Let $m = \max\{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$. Then $x_i \in I_m$ for all i so the ideal they generate is contained in I_m , i.e. $J \subseteq I_m$. This implies that $M_m = N = M_k$ for all $k \geq m$ which proves the ACC.

(b) Take the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} . This is a noetherian ring. However consider the descending chain of ideals $2\mathbb{Z} \supseteq 4\mathbb{Z} \supseteq 8\mathbb{Z} \supseteq \dots \supseteq 2^n\mathbb{Z} \supseteq \dots$. This never terminates and thus does not satisfy the DCC (it is not artinian).

3B) Show that no principal ideal in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ can be a maximal ideal.

Answer: Assume that I is a principal ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, say $I = (f(x))$. If $\deg f > 0$ then clearly $p \notin (f(x))$ and thus $(f(x)) \subset (f(x), p) \neq \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If $\deg f = 0$ then say that f is the constant n . Then $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n[x]$ which is clearly not a field and thus not a maximal ideal.

4A) If $f(x) = x^3 - 17 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ show that the Galois group of $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} is solvable but not abelian.

Answer: First note that f is irreducible by the Eisenstein criterion with $p = 17$. Thus G is a transitive subgroup of S_3 . One root that we have is $17^{1/3}$ which is a degree 3 extension. What is missing are 3^{rd} roots of unity. This is a degree 2 extension. Thus $\mathbb{Q}(17^{1/3}, i)$ is a degree $3 \cdot 2 = 6$ extension and thus $G \cong S_3$. The group is nonabelian as $(12)(123) = (23) \neq (13) = (123)(12)$.

Now recall the definition of solvable. A group is called solvable if there is a chain of subgroups

$$1 = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_s = G$$

such that G_{i+1}/G_i is abelian for $i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$. (Or you can use the commutator definition in Grove).

We have that $A_3 \triangleleft S_3$ (as it is index 2) and as A_3 has size 3 it is abelian and thus have the sequence $1 \triangleleft A_3 \triangleleft S_3$.

4B) Let L/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension with Galois group G , and let H be a subgroup of G . Let F be the fixed field of H . Show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between subfields of L which are isomorphic to F and conjugates of H in G .

Answer: If the intermediate field F corresponds to the subgroup H and σ is any automorphism in G , then the field $\sigma F = \{\sigma(x) : x \in F\}$ corresponds to the conjugate subgroup $\sigma H \sigma^{-1}$. For this reason σF is called a conjugate subfield of F .

Proof: The fixed field of $\sigma H \sigma^{-1}$ is the set of all $x \in L$ such that $\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}(x) = x$ for every $\tau \in H$. Thus

$$\{x \in L : \sigma^{-1}(x) \in \mathcal{F}(H)\} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}(H)).$$

5A) Let R be a commutative ring and let M and N be R -modules. Show that there is a natural isomorphism of R -modules

$$\text{End}_R(M \oplus N) \cong \text{End}_R(M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \oplus \text{End}_R(N)$$

and give a structure on the right hand side that makes this into an isomorphism of rings.

Answer: We first prove that $\text{Hom}_R(L, M \oplus N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(L, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(L, N)$ and then similarly it is true that $\text{Hom}_R(M \oplus N, L) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, L) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(N, L)$ and then use $L = M \oplus N$. Let $\pi_1 : M \oplus N \rightarrow M$ be the natural projection from $M \oplus N$ to M and similarly π_2 be the natural projection to N . If $f \in \text{Hom}_R(L, M \oplus N)$ then the compositions $\pi_1 \circ f$ and $\pi_2 \circ f$ give elements in $\text{Hom}_R(L, M)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(L, N)$, respectively. This defines a map from $\text{Hom}_R(L, M \oplus N)$ to $\text{Hom}_R(L, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(L, N)$. You can verify for yourself that this is an R -module homomorphism. Conversely, given $f_1 \in \text{Hom}_R(L, M)$ and $f_2 \in \text{Hom}_R(L, N)$, define the map $f \in \text{Hom}_R(L, M \oplus N)$ by $f(d) = (f_1(d), f_2(d))$. This defines a map from $\text{Hom}_R(L, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(L, N)$ to $\text{Hom}_R(L, M \oplus N)$ that can be checked to see is a

homomorphism inverse to the map above, proving the isomorphism. Similarly we do the exact same thing to show that

$$\text{Hom}_R(M \oplus N, L) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, L) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(N, L)$$

Using both of these we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(M \oplus N, M \oplus N) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M \oplus N, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M \oplus N, N) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(N, N) \\ &\cong \text{End}_R(M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \oplus \text{End}_R(N) \end{aligned}$$

To make this a ring isomorphism (using the fact that our ring is commutative) we simply define multiplication as composition of our maps.

5B) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and let M be the ideal $\langle 3, x \rangle$ in R viewed as an R -module. Show that M is not a free R -module.

Answer: Assume that M is a free R -module. Thus M has a basis. Assume that we pick a basis in $\langle 3, x \rangle$ of f . It is clear that there is some $r_1, r_2 \in R$ such that $r_1 f = 3$ and $r_2 f = x$. However f must have degree 1 and also be divisible by 3. This would imply that $f = 3g$ for some $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and therefore $r_2 3g = x$ which is not possible as 3 is not a unit in \mathbb{Z} . Thus a basis must have size at least 2. Assume that b_1 and b_2 are in the basis. Then $a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 = 3$ and $a'_1 b_1 + a'_2 b_2 = x$ for some $a_1, a'_1, a_2, a'_2 \in R$. Thus $a_1 x b_1 + a_2 x b_2 = 3x$ and $3a'_1 b_1 + 3a'_2 b_2 = 3x$ and thus $(a_1 x - 3a'_1) b_1 + (a_2 x - 3a'_2) b_2 = 0$. We need to show that one of the coefficients is not zero. Assume that $a_1 x = 3a'_1$. Then a_1 is a multiple of 3 as x does not divide 3 and 3 is prime in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Using a degree and divisibility argument show that one of the two is not zero.